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0:11

1 The writer George Eliot cautioned us that, among all forms of mistake, **prophesy** is the most gratuitous. The person that we would all acknowledge as her 20th-century **counterpart**, Yogi Berra, agreed. He said, "It's tough to make predictions, especially about the future."

0:29

2 I'm going to ignore their cautions and make one very specific **forecast**. I**n the world that we are creating very quickly, we're going to see more and more things that look like science fiction, and fewer and fewer things that look like jobs**. Our cars are very quickly going to start driving themselves, which means we're going to need fewer truck drivers. We're going to hook Siri up to **Watson** and use that to automate a lot of the work that's currently done by customer service reps and troubleshooters and **diagnosers (to diagnose)**, and we're already taking R2D2, painting him orange, and putting him to work carrying shelves around warehouses, which means we need a lot fewer people to be walking up and down those **aisles**.

1:09

3 Now, for about 200 years, people have been saying exactly what I'm telling you -- the age of technological unemployment is at hand — starting with the **Luddites smashing looms in Britain just about two centuries ago,** and they have been wrong. Our economies in the developed world have coasted along on something pretty close to full employment.

1:29

4 Which brings up a critical question: Why is this time different, if it really is? The reason it's different is that, just in the past few years, our **machines have started demonstrating skills they have never, ever had before: understanding, speaking, hearing, seeing, answering, writing, and they're still acquiring new skills.** For example, mobile humanoid robots are still incredibly **primitive**, but the research arm of the Defense Department just launched a competition to have them do things like this, and if the track record is any guide, this competition is going to be successful. So when I look around, I think the day is not too far off at all when we're going to have **android**s doing a lot of the work that we are doing right now. And **we're creating a world where there is going to be more and more technology and fewer and fewer jobs.** It's a world that Erik Brynjolfsson and I are calling "**the new machine age.**"

2:23

5 The thing to keep in mind is that this is **absolutely** great news. This is the best economic news on the planet these days. Not that there's a lot of competition, right? This is the best economic news we have these days for two main reasons. The first is, technological progress is what allows us to continue this amazing recent run that we're on where output goes up over time, while at the same time, prices go down, and volume and quality just continue to explode. Now, some people look at this and talk about **shallow** materialism, but that's absolutely the wrong way to look at it. **This is abundance, which is exactly what we want our economic system to provide.** The second reason that the new machine age is such great news is that, once the androids start doing jobs, we don't have to do them anymore, and we get freed up from **drudgery and toil**.

3:20

6 Now, when I talk about this with my friends in Cambridge and **Silicon Valley**, they say, "Fantastic. No more drudgery, no more toil. This gives us the chance to imagine an entirely different kind of society, a society where the creators and the discoverers and the performers and the innovators come together with their **patrons** and their **financiers** to talk about issues, entertain, enlighten, **provoke** each other." It's a society really, that looks a lot like the TED Conference. And there's actually a huge amount of truth here. We are seeing an amazing **flourishing** taking place. In a world where it is just about as easy to generate an object as it is to print a document, we have amazing new possibilities. The people who used to be craftsmen and hobbyists are now makers, and they're responsible for massive amounts of innovation. And artists who were formerly **constrained** can now do things that were never, ever possible for them before. So this is a time of great flourishing, and the more I look around, the more convinced I become that this quote, from the physicist Freeman Dyson, is not **hyperbole** at all. This is just a plain statement of the facts. We are in the middle of an **astonishing** period.

4:31

7 ["Technology is a gift of God. After the gift of life it is perhaps the greatest of God's gifts. It is the mother of civilizations, of arts and of sciences." — Freeman Dyson]

4:32

8 Which brings up another great question: What could possibly go wrong in this new machine age? Right? Great, hang up, flourish, go home. We're going to face two really **thorny** sets of challenges as we head deeper into the future that we're creating.

4:47

9 The **first are economic,** and they're really nicely summarized in an apocryphal story about a back-and-forth between Henry Ford II and Walter Reuther, who was the head of the auto workers union. They were touring one of the new modern factories, and Ford playfully turns to Reuther and says, "Hey Walter, how are you going to get these robots to pay union dues?" And Reuther shoots back, "Hey Henry, how are you going to get them to buy cars?"

5:14

10 Reuther's problem in that **anecdote** is that it is tough to offer your labor to an economy that's full of machines, and we see this very clearly in the statistics. If you look over the past couple **decades** at the returns to capital -- in other words, **corporate profits -- we see them going up, and we see that they're now at an all-time high.** If we look at the returns to labor, in other words **total wages paid out in the economy, we see them at an all-time low** and heading very quickly in the opposite direction.

5:45

11 So this is clearly bad news for Reuther. It looks like it might be great news for Ford, but it's actually not. **If you want to sell huge volumes of somewhat expensive goods to people, you really want a large, stable, prosperous middle class.** We have had one of those in America for just about the entire postwar period. But the middle class is clearly under huge **threat** right now. We all know a lot of the statistics, but just to repeat one of them, **median** income in America has actually gone down over the past 15 years, and we're in danger of getting trapped in some **vicious cycle** where inequality and **polarization** continue to go up over time.

6:26

12 The **(second challenge is) societal** challenges that come along with that kind of inequality deserve some attention. There are a set of societal challenges that I'm actually not that worried about, and they're captured by images like this. This is not the kind of societal problem that I am concerned about. There is no shortage of **dystopian** ***(antonym = utopia)*** visions about what happens when our machines become self-aware, and they decide to rise up and coordinate attacks against us. I'm going to start worrying about those the day my computer becomes aware of my printer.

6:55

(Laughter) (Applause)

6:59

13 So this is not the set of challenges we really need to worry about. To tell you the kinds of societal challenges that are going to come up in the new machine age, I want to tell a story about two **stereotypical (stereotype)** American workers. And to make them really stereotypical, let's make them both white guys. And the first one is a college-educated professional, creative type, manager, engineer, doctor, lawyer, that kind of worker. We're going to call him "Ted." He's at the top of the American middle class. His counterpart is not college-educated and works as a laborer, works as a clerk, does low-level white collar or blue collar work in the economy. We're going to call that guy "Bill."

7:40

14 And if you **go back about 50 years, Bill and Ted were leading remarkably similar lives. For example, in 1960 they were both very likely to have full-time jobs, working at least 40 hours a week.** But as the social researcher Charles Murray has documented, as **we started to automate the economy, and 1960 is just about when computers started to be used by businesses,** as we started to progressively **inject** technology and automation and digital stuff into the economy, **the fortunes of Bill and Ted diverged a lot.** Over this time frame, Ted has continued to hold a full-time job. Bill hasn't. In many cases, Bill has left the economy entirely, and Ted very rarely has. Over time, Ted's marriage has stayed quite happy. Bill's hasn't. And Ted's kids have grown up in a two-parent home, while Bill's absolutely have not over time. Other ways that Bill is **dropping out of society?** He's decreased his voting in presidential elections, and he's started to go to prison a lot more often. So I cannot tell a happy story about these social trends, and they don't show any signs of reversing themselves. They're also true no matter which ethnic group or **demographic** group we look at, and they're actually getting so severe that they're in danger of **overwhelming** even the amazing progress we made with the Civil Rights Movement.

9:03

15 And what my friends in Silicon Valley and Cambridge are overlooking is that they're Ted. They're living these amazingly busy, productive lives, and they've got all the benefits to show from that, while Bill is leading a very different life. They're actually both proof of how right Voltaire was when he talked about the benefits of work, and the fact that it saves us from not one but three great evils.

9:27

["Work saves a man from three great evils: boredom, **vice** and need." — Voltaire]

9:28

16 So with these challenges, what do we do about them?

9:31

The economic **playbook** is surprisingly clear, surprisingly straightforward, in the short term especially. The robots are not going to take all of our jobs in the next year or two, so the classic Econ 101 playbook is going to work just fine: Encourage entrepreneurship, double down on **infrastructure**, and make sure we're turning out people from our educational system with the appropriate skills.

9:54

17 But over the longer term, if we are moving into an economy that's heavy on technology and light on labor, and we are, then we have to consider some more **radical** interventions, for example, something like a guaranteed minimum income. Now, that's probably making some folk in this room uncomfortable, because that idea is associated with the extreme left wing and with fairly radical **schemes** for **redistributing wealth**. I did a little bit of research on this **notion**, and it might calm some folk down to know that the idea of a net guaranteed minimum income has been championed by those frothing-at-the-mouth socialists Friedrich Hayek, Richard Nixon and Milton Friedman. And if you find yourself worried that something like a guaranteed income is going to **stifle** our drive to succeed and make us kind of **complacent**, you might be interested to know that **social mobility,** one of the things we really pride ourselves on in the United States, is now lower than it is in the northern European countries that have these very generous **social safety nets.** So the economic **playbook** is actually pretty straightforward.

10:58

18 The societal one is a lot more challenging. I don't know what the playbook is for getting Bill to **engage** and stay engaged throughout life.

11:07

19 I do know that education is a huge part of it. I witnessed this firsthand. I was a Montessori kid for the first few years of my education, and what that education taught me is that the world is an interesting place and my job is to go **explore** it. The school stopped in third grade, so then I entered the public school system, and it felt like I had been sent to the **Gulag**. With the benefit of **hindsight**, I now know the job was to prepare me for life as a clerk or a laborer, but at the time it felt like the job was to kind of bore me into some **submission** with what was going on around me. We have to do better than this. We cannot keep turning out Bills.

11:46

20 So we see some **green shoots** that things are getting better. We see technology deeply impacting education and engaging people, from our youngest learners up to our oldest ones. We see very prominent business voices telling us we need to rethink some of the things that we've been holding dear for a while. And we see very serious and sustained and data-driven efforts to understand how to **intervene** in some of the most troubled communities that we have.

12:10

21 So the green shoots are out there. I don't want to pretend for a minute that what we have is going to be enough. We're facing very tough challenges. To give just one example, there are about five million Americans who have been unemployed for at least six months. We're not going to fix things for them by sending them back to Montessori. **And my biggest worry is that we're creating a world where we're going to have glittering technologies embedded in kind of a shabby society and supported by an economy that generates inequality instead of opportunity.**

12:39

22 But I actually don't think that's what we're going to do. I think we're going to do something a lot better for one very straightforward reason: The facts are getting out there. The realities of this new machine age and the change in the economy are becoming more widely known. If we wanted to accelerate that process, we could do things like have our best economists and policymakers play "Jeopardy!" against Watson. We could send Congress on an **autonomous car** road trip. And if we do enough of these kinds of things, the **awareness** is going to **sink in** that things are going to be different. And then we're off to the races, because I don't believe for a second that we have forgotten how to solve tough challenges or that we have become too **apathetic** or hard-hearted to even try.

13:21

23 I started my talk with quotes from **wordsmiths** who were separated by an ocean and a century. Let me end it with words from politicians who were similarly distant.

13:30

24 Winston Churchill came to my home of MIT in 1949, and he said, "If we are to bring the broad masses of the people in every land to the table of **abundance**, it can only be by the tireless improvement of all of our means of technical production."

13:45

25 **Abraham Lincoln realized there was one other ingredient. He said, "I am a firm believer in the people. If given the truth, they can be depended upon to meet any national crisis. The great point is to give them the plain facts.**"

13:58

26 So the **optimistic** note, great point that I want to leave you with is that the plain facts of the machine age are becoming clear, and I have every confidence that we're going to use them to chart a good course into the challenging, abundant economy that we're creating.

14:13

Thank you very much.